

**Peer review policy:**

Peer review is an integral part of scientific publishing that confirms the validity of the manuscript. All articles submitted to the Journal are peer-reviewed. Manuscript undergoes several processes of peer review which includes editorial review aiming to assess quality of a manuscript, relevance of the article to the journal, and suitability to undergo peer review which is checked by the editor/executive editor and editorial associates. **RUHS Journal of Health Sciences** follows double-blind peer review process. The process may differ depending upon the category to which the manuscript belongs. Peer reviewers are experts in their chosen field who provide the Journal a written assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of manuscripts, with the aim of identifying the most appropriate and best material for the Journal. The Journal reserves the right to decide on the choice of reviewers. All reviewers are expected to inform the Journal about any conflict of interest while reviewing articles. Authors are obliged to participate in the peer review process and cooperate fully by responding promptly to editors' requests for raw data, clarifications, and proof of ethics approval, patient consents and copyright permissions. In the case of a first decision of "revisions necessary", authors should respond to the reviewers' comments systematically, point by point, and in a timely manner, revising and re-submitting their manuscript to the journal by the deadline given.